

HACKENSACK MERIDIAN HEALTH - HACKENSACK UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER — no.3767451

Partner for Change - 2018: Water

This application is being viewed in read-only mode.

Data imported from previous year application highlighted in yellow.

Introduction

Water is a critical resource for community health and well-being. Because of the artificially low pricing for water, it can be challenging to get this program prioritized. However, water conservation remains a vital part of a strong environmental stewardship program. Tracking and measuring the amount of direct water used is the first step a hospital should take to begin its water management and minimization program.

Water Use Demographics

Practice Greenhealth introduced its <u>Less Water Goal</u> in 2016. See the <u>Less Water Toolkit</u> for more information and guidance on water reduction strategies.

<u>1.</u>

Please enter the facility's Baseline Year:

2012

Baseline Year is the year the facility began actively tracking water use or initiated a water conservation program. Practice Greenhealth uses this data to assess organizational progress over time.

2. Please indicate the number of hemodialysis treatments the facility performs annually (both at bedside and inpatient unit):

6288

Water Usage

Please indicate the facility's total water use in Table A below. <u>Please include irrigation water if applicable in these totals.</u> All applicants are required to complete the **Current Year** water consumption data. If 2017 was your facility's Baseline Year, please provide the water consumption data for both Current and Baseline Year, and leave Previous Year blank. Do not enter zeros.

For <u>Gross Floor Area</u>, please enter the same value for all three years if the facility's <u>Gross Floor Area</u> has not changed. These values will populate on both the Water and Energy pages.

PGH uses Energy Star Portfolio Manager's definition of <u>Gross Floor Area</u>. If the facility uses Portfolio Manager, you can cut and paste the value for <u>Gross Floor Area</u> into the application. Click here for the definition of <u>Gross Floor Area</u>.

Cleanable Square Feet: Gross square footage refers to all measurable space contained within the walls and under the roof of any individual facility. Cleanable square footage only counts the actual space that the ES staff clean. Consequently, it excludes locations such as electrical or maintenance closets, inner space between walls, courtyards or patios and parking garages. The engineering or facilities department may be a good place to acquire this information, as well as contracts for housekeeping services if your facility outsources EVS. (Cite: Health Facilities Management) To calculate Cleanable Square Feet when a measured value is not available, the facility can estimate that Cleanable Square Feet = Gross Square Feet minus walls (1.5% of gross square feet) minus square footage of non-cleanable areas (i.e., electrical closets, mechanical rooms, storage rooms).

Table	Δ	Total	Water	Consur	notion
I able	М.	IULGI	TTALCI	COlladi	11011011

	Baseline Year	Units	Previous Year	Units	Current Year	Units
Gross Floor Area	3.	Square Feet	4.	Square Feet	<u>5.</u>	Square Feet
	2504408]	2504408		2,504,408]
Cleanable Area					<u>6.</u>	Square Feet
	2.2			•	2399608]
Annual Water Consumption	7.	8. Water-U.S. Ga	9.	10. Water-U.S Ga	11.	12. Water-U S. Ga ✓

0.000		2504408		2504408		2,504,408	
Cleana	ble Area	13				<u>6.</u> 2399608	Square Feet
Annual		7. 137781021	8. Water-U.S. Ga	107072179	10. Water-U.S Ga✓	11.	Water-U S. Ga
	122164867		ater consumption (in				
<u>14.</u>							
<u>15.</u>	Please provid 821006.89	de the Annual Costs (\$) for Current Year W	/ater Consumption:			
	No Answe Yes No 16.a Wha	r at is the facility's wate ir goal was to reduce	water consumption by	y 5%. We are looking	to revamp our water o	conservation program vater conservation ini	n in 2018. Our tiatives.
<u>17.</u>	Does your O No Answ Yes No	facility irrigate any lar	ndscape areas?				

<u>17.a</u>	Please p	Please provide the Irrigated Area for the hospital campus (in square feet):							
	45300								
	There are	There are 43,560 square feet in an acre, To convert acres to square feet, multiply acres by 43,560.							
<u>17.b</u>	Does you O No An O Yes No		r irrigation water versus other water used for	r the facility?					
	17.b.b	17.b.b Please estimate the gallons of water used for irrigation in 2017:							
		30169676							
	17.b.d	Based on the data above	, the facility's (estimated) indoor water use for	2017 is:					
		91995191.0							
<u>17.c</u>		ased on the data above, the facility's Irrigation Water Use for 2017 is:							
	30169676.0								
	This number	r will be used for calculation of Ga	allons of Water Use per Imgated Square Foot.						
<u>17.d</u>	The facility	y's metric for Gallons of W	later Use per Irrigated Square Foot is:						
	692.60								
<u>17.e</u>	The facility	s Indoor Water Use for 2	017 is:						
	91995191.0								
	This number	will be used for calculation of Ind	oor Water Use Metrics in Table C.						
Based or	n the data :	above, your facility's norma	alized water use metrics for Indoor Water Us	e are presented in Table C. helow					
		ater Use Metrics		The presented in Tubic C. Bolow,					
			24.	25.					
<u>23.</u>	Indoor Gallons per Square Foot (The 2017		1						
23. Indoor G median w	allons per s	Square Foot (The 2017	Indoor Gallons per Cleanable Square Foot	Indoor Gallons per FTE					
Indoor G median w	vas 44.5 gal/ om 5 to 200)	sq foot; values generally	38.34	17334.69					

Given the data you provided in Table A, your facility's normalized water use metrics for Total Water Use are presented in Table B1 below. For comparison, data for Total Water Use from the 2017 award winners is presented in parentheses. If your consumption is out of the range presented, please review your water consumption and square footage data entered in Table A above.

Table B2 highlights the facility's normalized water use reduction metrics.

Table B1. Total Water Use Metrics

18. Total Gallons per Square Foot (The 201 value was 46.9; values generally ranged f 218):	7 median rom 6,8 to	19. Total Gallons per Cleanal median value was 54.1; val 9 to 253):	ole Square Foot (The 2017 ues generally ranged from	20. Total Gallons per FTE (The 2017 median value was 20,635; values generally ranged from 3,100 to 155,400)		
48.78		50.91		23019.57		
				, <u> </u>		
Table B2. Water Use Reduction Me	trics					
Percent Total Water Use Reduction fro	m Baseline Y	ear	Percent Total Water Use	Reduction from Previous Year		
<u>21.</u>			<u>22.</u>			
11.3			-14.1	I		
This is the percent change between total gall Baseline Year. A negative number indicates	ions per square an increase in c	foot in Current Year and consumption.		etween total gallons per square foot in Current Year and imber indicates an increase in consumption.		
Water Conservation						
26. Does the facility submeter an O No Answer O Yes No	y departmer	nts and/or individual piece	es of equipment?			
27. Does the facility have a writte No Answer Yes No No Has the facility contracted wood No Answer						
● Yes ○ No						
28.a Name of water audit	firm:					
Gotham360						
Does the facility benchmar No Answer Yes No	k water usa	ge?				
29.a What software pro	gram, tool o	r company did the organ	nization utilize to benchm	ark water usage?		
Gotham360						
29.b Please indicate you	r preferred I	metric for measuring/nor	malizing water use:			
Gallons/Square Fe	Gallons/Square Feet					

	-								
	29.c Please indicate the last year in which water usage was last benchmarked:								
		2017							
<u>30.</u>	Does No	the facility purchase	e <u>US EPA WaterSense-labeled</u> dev	ices and equipment?					
<u>31.</u>	O No A O Yes No		fforts to reuse non-potable w ater?						
32.	Does	he facility use any a	liternative landscaping methods that	reduce the need for irrigation?					
	O No. Yes No	Answer			_				
	32.2	If you place desert							
	<u>32.a</u>	The state of the s							
		island (which from	ir landscaper since 2014 only utilizes drought tolerant and native plants in all areas of the campus with the exception of the from and (which from time to time has extremely colorful plants that are definitely not native).						
		_							
	<u>32.b</u>		ngs realized from alternative landsca	iping methods (in gallons):					
		0							
Please	list the b	ggest water-saving	projects implemented in 2017 in T	able E. A minimum of three projects is	suggested if possible				
Note: Y	our over	all water use reduction		ent water reduction from baseline, who					
Table E	: Water	Reduction Projects	<u> </u>						
Project	Descripti	on	Category	Water Savings (in gallons/year)	Annual Savings in USD \$ (real or calculated)				
33.			34.	35.	36.				
30 Ser	nsor Sinks	ETC	Faucets	0	0				
37.			38.	39.	40.				
Steris 1327 Cart Wash		Wash	Sterile processing equipment						
41.			42.	43.	44.				
2 new washers in OR Prep Other									
<u>45.</u>			46.	47.	48.				
			Select an option						

<u>49.</u>		50.	51.		52.
		Select an option			
Total			53.		54.
Succe	esses				
Please facility	describe water reduction proj in 2017. Please feel free to pr	ects in Table E immediate ovide commentary and/or	ly above, or share oth attach a file.	er successful or innovativ	ve water projects / programs at your
<u>55.</u>	Water Reduction Successes	: (Please describe)			
	Other than equipment installations water has been a weakness, In 2018 the operations manager in Plant Operations has taken a water conservation goal. The numbers are being determined by our energy/water consultant Gotham360, Steris 1327 Washer installed in Sterile Processing: Statement from Website: More Green Provides the lowest water consumption per fill of any washer on the market Recycles 70% of water each cycle (excludes instrument cycle) 10 minute cycles allow for low utility consumption				
<u>56.</u>	Please attach any additional				
<u>57.</u>	Please describe any lessons	learned from water reduct	tion projects:		
	Many of our leaks are in aging parts of our campus that were built in the early 1900's. As our facilities team began to dig and get closer to the sources of the leaks we ran into challenges that caused the price of the projects to balloon. From a cost perspective it became difficult to justify continuing to move forward especially considering the construction would disrupt patient care. We maintain an active log of lists where leaks are and have mitigated the ones that we are able to. The cost of water in our region still has not reached near the true cost that we should be paying.				
<u>58.</u>	Please attach any additional No file uploaded.	documentation (optional)	for lessons learned or	water reduction projects:	